International Journal of Research in Health and Allied Sciences

Journal home page: www.ijrhas.com

Official Publication of "Society for Scientific Research and Studies" (Regd.)

ISSN: 2455-7803

Original Research

Nickel and chromium levels in the saliva of patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment

¹Dr Tanvi Verma, ²Dr. Jasmine Arneja

¹MDS Orthodontics, Private Practitioner, India; ²MDS Orthodontics, Private Practitioner, USA

ABSTRACT:

Background: To assess the nickel and chromium levels in the saliva undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment. **Materials & methods:** A total of 50 subjects were enrolled. 35 were female and 15 were male with fixed orthodontic treatment. Three samples of stimulated saliva were collected from each orthodontic patient, 1 at each of the following times: before insertion of the fixed appliance (which served as a baseline level for salivary nickel and chromium content), 10 days after insertion of the appliance, and 6months after insertion of the appliance. Result was analysed using SPSS software. **Results:** A total of 50 subjects were enrolled. Amount of salivary nickel and chromium at the baseline was 5.2 and 2.7 respectively. There was slight increase in both the measurements after 10 days of orthodontic treatment and the levels of nickel were 6.60 and chromium were 3.8 micro gram/ L. **Conclusion:** The salivary nickel and chromium concentrations significantly increased after insertion of fixed orthodontic appliances as compared to baseline levels. **Keywords:** orthodontic treatment, saliva, nickel levels.

Received: 17 September, 2022

Accepted: 22 October, 2022

Corresponding Author: Dr Tanvi Verma, MDS Orthodontics, Private Practitioner, India

This article may be cited as: Verma T, Arneja J. Nickel and chromium levels in the saliva of patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment. Int J Res Health Allied Sci 2022; 8(5):45-47.

INTRODUCTION

Orthodontic appliances are highly biocompatible, although some side effects associated with the release of nickel ions have been documented. 1 Fixed orthodontic appliances including brackets and arches are commonly made of stainless steel and nickeltitanium (NiTi) alloys and, therefore, have corrosion potential in the oral environment.² The amount of nickel as the main constituent of contemporary orthodontic appliances may vary from 8% in stainless steel to more than 50% in NiTi alloys. ^{3,4} Stainlesssteel alloys include 17% to 22% of chromium. ⁵ Fixed orthodontic treatment causes major changes in the composition of the saliva. ⁶ Nickel and chromium ions released from fixed orthodontic appliances can serve as allergens or may have serious biological side effects.² Moreover, they are cytotoxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic in small quantities in the range of nanograms.² Evaluation of the level of trace elements in patients using orthodontic appliances is a priority.⁷ Both nickel and chromium ions can cause hypersensitivity reactions in some people.⁸ In

addition, nickel and chromium can cause dermatitis and asthma. ⁹ Increased prevalence of nickel hypersensitivity as well as the increased demand and availability of orthodontic treatment have attracted the attention of researchers towards the composition of alloys and their ion release potential during orthodontic treatment. ⁸

Nickel-containing alloys exist in a wide variety of appliances and auxiliary devices used in orthodontics, with a content of up to 55% by weight. ¹⁰ Likewise, the in vivo release of nickel ions varies greatly from 0.5 to 105.7 μ g/l, according to the alloy type, body fluid, temperature, mechanical stress, or pH. ¹¹ In general, orthodontic materials are considered to be highly biocompatible. The systemic absorption of leached metal ions usually can be definitively measured using available biomarkers that include blood, hair, urine, saliva, and gingival crevicular fluid (GCF). ¹² In vitro nickel release from orthodontic appliances was reported to be 22–40 μ g/day, which was lower than the estimated dietary intake. ¹³ The inherent heterogeneity of metal alloys and their use in

combination with other alloys, microconversion, the forces acting on the appliances, and the friction between wires and brackets may further add to the corrosion process. ¹⁴ Therefore, in orthodontic practice, it is essential to know the exact amount of each ion released during the course of treatment, and inform the patient undergoing orthodontic treatment in this respect. ² Hence, this study was conducted to assess the nickel and chromium levels in the saliva undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment.

MATERIALS & METHODS

A total of 50 subjects were enrolled. 35 were female and 15 were male with fixed orthodontic treatment. Three samples of stimulated saliva were collected from each orthodontic patient, 1 at each of the following times: before insertion of the fixed appliance (which served as a baseline level for salivary nickel and chromium content), 10 days after insertion of the appliance, and 6months after insertion of the appliance. These samples were analyzed for nickel and chromium content using the atomic absorption spectrometer and their values recorded in micro g/L. Data was collected. Result was analysed using SPSS software.

RESULTS

A total of 50 subjects were enrolled. Amount of salivary nickel and chromium at the baseline was 5.2 and 2.7 respectively. There was slight increase in both the measurements after 10 days of orthodontic treatment and the levels of nickel were 6.60 and chromium were 3.8 micro gram/ L. After 6 months of orthodontic appliance placement, the nickel levels were decreased to 5.37 and chromium levels were 3.92 micro gram/L.

Table: amount of salivary nickel and chromium (micro gram/ L) at the three stages

Metal	Baseline(before treatment)	After 10 days of orthodontic treatment	After 6 months of orthodontic treatment	P - value
	Mean			
Nickel	5.2	6.60	5.37	0.3
Chromium	2.7	3.8	3.92	0.1

DISCUSSION

Nickel and chromium ions discharged from fixed orthodontic appliances can act as allergens or may have serious biological adverse effects. Allergic reactions are the predominant side effects, owing to the unavoidable release of nickel ions as a by-product of intraoral corrosion. ¹⁵ This has caused concerns among orthodontic patients, their parents, and orthodontists, owing to the possible association of orthodontic treatment with the prevalence of nickel hypersensitivity. ¹⁶ However, previous in vitro and in vivo evidence indicates that oral nickel intake, especially prior to cutaneous sensitization, may favor the induction of oral tolerance to nickel. ¹⁷ Hence, this study was conducted to assess the nickel and chromium levels in the saliva undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment.

In the present study, a total of 50 subjects were enrolled. Amount of salivary nickel and chromium at the baseline was 5.2 and 2.7 respectively. There was slight increase in both the measurements after 10 days of orthodontic treatment and the levels of nickel were 6.60 and chromium were 3.8 micro gram/ L. A study by Yassaei S et al, studied 32 patients who presented to the orthodontic clinic were selected. The salivary samples were taken from the patients in four stages: before appliance placement and 20 days, 3 months, and 6 months following appliance placement. It was found that the average amount of nickel in the saliva 20 days after appliance placement was 0.8 µg/L more than before placement. The average amount of chromium in the saliva was found to be between 2.6 and 3.6 µg/L. The amount of chromium at all stages after appliance placement was more than before, but

the differences between the chromium levels of saliva at all stages were not significant. ¹⁸

In the present study, after 6 months of orthodontic appliance placement, the nickel levels were decreased to 5.37 and chromium levels were 3.92 micro gram/L. Another study by Pritam A et al, studied forty patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment and were divided into 2 groups of 20 each. In group I, there were 6 male and 14 female and in group II 7 males and 13 females. The mean nickel level (ng/ml) before treatment in group I was 0.49 and in group II was 0.52, on 7th day was 0.52 and 0.54, on 30th day was 13.4 and 100.2, and on 6th month was 0.54 and 0.52 in Group I and II, respectively. The mean chromium level (ng/ml) before treatment in Group I was 0.48 and in Group II was 0.52, on 7th day was 0.52 and 0.53, on 30th day was 40.6 and 62.4 and on 6th month was 4.9 and 0.52 in Group I and II, respectively. The difference was significant (P < 0.05).¹⁹

Another study by Bhasin V et al, showed that at 1 month, the mean value of nickel and chromium in GCF was found to be 4.5 and 4.9 ug/gm of GCF respectively. They compared the mean nickel levels between 1 and 6 months and between baseline and 6 months, significant results were obtained. Significant results were also obtained while comparing the mean values of chromium in GCF between baseline and 6 months and between 1 and 6 months. Gingival health index of the patients was found to be associated with increased inflammation with the progression of time of orthodontic treatment. ²⁰

CONCLUSION

The salivary nickel and chromium concentrations significantly increased after insertion of fixed orthodontic appliances as compared to baseline levels.

REFERENCES

- Gölz L., Knickenberg A.C., Keilig L., Reimann S., Papageorgiou S.N., Jäger A., Bourauel C. Nickel ion concentrations in the saliva of patients treated with self-ligating fixed appliances: A prospective cohort study. J. Orofac. Orthop. 2016;77:85–93. doi: 10.1007/s00056-016-0012-x.
- Nayak R.S., Khanna B., Pasha A., Vinay K., Narayan A., Chaitra K. Evaluation of Nickel and Chromium Ion Release During Fixed Orthodontic Treatment Using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer: An In Vivo Study. J. Int. Oral Health. 2015;7:14–20.
- Andreasen G.F., Hilleman T.B. An evaluation of 55 cobalt substituted Nitinol wire for use in orthodontics. J. Am. Dent. Assoc. 1971;82:1373–1375.
- Petoumenou E., Arndt M., Keilig L., Reimann S., Hoederath H., Eliades T., Jäger A., Bourauel C. Nickel concentration in the saliva of patients with nickeltitanium orthodontic appliances. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop. 2009;135:59–65.
- Ousehal L., Lazrak L. Change in nickel levels in the saliva of patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. Int. Orthod. 2012;10:190197.
- Arab S., Nouhzadeh Malekshah S., Abouei Mehrizi E., Ebrahimi Khanghah A., Naseh R., Imani M.M. Effect of Fixed Orthodontic Treatment on Salivary Flow, pH and Microbial Count. J. Dent. (Tehran) 2016;13:18–22.
- Mikulewicz M., Chojnacka K. Release of metal ions from orthodontic appliances by in vitro studies: A systematic literature review. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 2011;139:241–256.
- Kocadereli L., Atac P.A., Kale P.S., Ozer D. Salivary nickel and chromium in patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. Angle Orthod. 2000;70:431–434.
- Sahoo N., Kailasam V., Padmanabhan S., Chitharanjan A.B. In-vivo evaluation of salivary nickel and chromium levels in conventional and self-ligating brackets. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop. 2011;140:340–345.
- Ramadan AA. Effect of nickel and chromium on gingival tissues during orthodontic treatment: Longituinal study. World J Orthod. 2004;5:230–5.
- 11. Kerosuo H, Kanerva L. Systemic contact dermatitis caused by nickel in a stainless steel orthodontic appliance. Contact Dermatitis. 1997;36:112–3.
- 12. Talic NF, Alnahwi HH, Al-Faraj AS. Nickel and chromium levels in the saliva of a Saudi sample treated with fixed orthodontic appliances. Saudi Dent J. 2013;25:129–33
- Kerosuo H., Moe G., Hensten-Pettersen A. Salivary nickel and chromium in subjects with different types of fixed orthodontic appliances. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop. 1997;111:595–598
- Eliades T., Bourauel C. Intraoral aging of orthodontic materials: The picture we miss and its clinical relevance. Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop. 2005;127:403–412.
- Lindsten R, Kurol J. Orthodontic appliances in relation to nickel hypersensitivity. A review. J Orofac Orthop. 1997;58:100–8.

- Temesvári E, Rácz I. Nickel sensitivity from dental prosthesis. Contact Dermatitis. 1988;18:50–1.
- 17. Rahilly G, Price N. Nickel allergy and orthodontics. J Orthod. 2003;30:171–4.
- Yassaei S, Dadfarnia S, Ahadian H, Moradi F. Nickel and chromium levels in the saliva of patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. Orthodontics (Chic.). 2013;14(1):e76-81
- Pritam A, Priyadarshini A, Hussain K, Kumar A, Kumar N, Malakar A. Assessment of Nickel and Chromium Level in Gingival Crevicular Fluid in Patients Undergoing Orthodontic Treatment with or without Fluoridated Tooth Paste. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2021 Nov;13(Suppl 2):S1588-S1590.
- 20. Bhasin V, Pustake SJ, Joshi V, Tiwari A, Bhasin M, Punia RS. Assessment of Changes in Nickel and Chromium Levels in the Gingival Crevicular Fluid during Fixed Orthodontic Treatment. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2017 Aug 1;18(8):675-678